*5 seats – 763 votes. 763/5 = 152.6 per seat*

*(expected initial setup candidates/result voters)*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A (1 person): | 202 = | 1 | |
| B (1): | 101 = | 0 | + 1* |
| C (1): | 49 = | 0 | |
| D+E (2): | 171 = | 1 | |
| F+G+H (3): | 240 = | 1 | + 1* |
| * | 101 | | |
| * 240 – 152.6 = | 87.4 | | |

152.6 + 101 + 152.6 + 240 = 646.2

763 - > 646.2 = *84.7%*

- - - - - - - - - -

*5 seats – 763 votes. 8 candidates.*

*(matured setup candidates/result voters)*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A+B+C (3): | 352 = | 2 | |
| D+E (2): | 171 = | 1 | |
| F+G+H (3): | 240 = | 1 | + 1* |
| 352 – 305.2 = | 46.8 | | |
| * 240 – 152.6 = | 87.4 | | |

305.2 + 152.6 + 240 = 697.8

763 -> 697.8 = *91.5%*

*5 seats – 763 votes. 8 candidates.*

*(expected initial setup candidates/result voters)*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A (1 person): | 240 = | 1 | |
| B (1): | 101 = | 0 | + 1* |
| C (1): | 49 = | 0 | |
| D+E (2): | 171 = | 1 | |
| F+G+H (3): | 202 = | 1 | + 1* |
| * | 101 | | |
| * 202 – 152.6 = | 49.4 | | |

152.6 + 101 + 152.6 + 202 = 608.2

763 - > 608.2 = *79.7%*

- - - - - - - - - -

*5 seats – 763 votes. 763/5 = 152.6 per seat*

*(matured setup candidates/result voters)*

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A+B+C (3): | 390 = | 2 | + 1* |
| D+E (2): | 171 = | 1 | |
| F+G+H (3): | 202 = | 1 | |
| * 390 – 305.2 = | 84.8 | | |
| 202 – 152.6 = | 49.4 | | |

390 + 152.6 + 152.6 = 695.2

763 -> 695.2 = *91.1%*

Examples shown for 5 seat council elections, using proportional voting format. *Standard* statistical minimum percentage that voters' wishes are expressed in the result with 5 seats: *83.33%*
While candidates can choose to run by themselves (probably done initially), the benefit tends to go to those candidates that affiliate themselves with a set of other candidates (matured route). * Highest number of votes left gets remaining seat. Candidates A, B, D, and F end up with a seat in all examples.